Preprint typeset in JHEP style - HYPER VERSION BRX-TH-6307

arXiv:1611.03116v1 [hep-th] 9 Nov 2016

Rényi Entropy for a 2d CFT with a gauge field: $\widehat{\mathrm{SU}}(\mathrm{N})_1$ WZW theory on a branched torus

Howard J. Schnitzer

Martin Fisher School of Physics, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02454, USA

E-mail: schnitzr@brandeis.edu

Abstract:
The Rényi entropy for the $\widehat{\mathrm{SU}}(N)_1$ WZW model as described by N free fermions coupled to a $U(1)$ constraint field is computed on an n-sheeted branched torus. The boundary condition of the harmonic component of the gauge field on the homology cycles of the genus g Riemann surface is central to the final result.
This calculation is complementary to that of arXiv:1510.05993, which presents the bose side of the bose-fermi equivalence.

Contents

1. Introduction **1**

2. The Action **2**

3. The Partition Function **6**

3.1 Monodromies 6

3.2 The fermion action 7

3.3 The remaining action 9

3.4 The complete Action 10

4. Conclusions **10**

1. Introduction

The treatment of entanglement entropy (EE) and Rényi entanglement entropy (RE) in the presence of gauge fields in still a developing open issue. The problem is that one cannot express the Hilbert space of the theory as a direct product $\mathcal{H} \neq \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$, separating two regions A and B because a Gauss law constraint is not localized. Several proposals have been advanced usually evaluating the theory on a lattice, with suitable constraints on the boundary links of the lattice. A number of studies have pursued this approach [1–25].

One strategy is to extend the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \to \overline{\mathcal{H}}$, so that for the extended space $\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \overline{\mathcal{H}}_A \times \overline{\mathcal{H}}_B$ is realized, compute EE or RE on the extended space and project the final result to $\mathcal{H}$. To date this approach has only carried out on a lattice.

In this paper we discuss the RE for the $\widehat{\mathrm{SU}}(N)_1$ WZW model on a branched torus. This theory has the advantage that there are two presentations of the theory as a consequence of the bose-fermi equivalence. In the bose formulation there are no gauge fields, and the RE can be unambiguously calculated [26, 27]. In the fermi presentation

$$\widehat{\mathrm{SU}}(N)_1 = \frac{\hat{\mathrm{U}}(N)_1}{\mathrm{U}(1)} \tag{1.1}$$

there are N free fermions constrained by a U(1) gauge field. In this sense, the extended Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is that of $\mathrm{U}(N)_1$, and the final result for the RE is obtained

when the constraint gauge field is considered. The consequences of the U(1) gauge field are non-trivial as fermions in the presence of a constant gauge field is equivalent to free fermions with twisted boundary conditions [28], [29].

In eq (1.1) for genus ≥ 1 one expands the gauge field in a Hodge decomposition. The exact and co-exact fields in the decomposition contribute to the RE in a straight forward way, essentially as scalar fields. The harmonic component of the gauge field contributes a fermi determinant with twisted boundary conditions, where the contributions of the harmonic component of the constraint gauge field removes any U(1) charge circulating around a homology cycle.

This study of the RE for $\widehat{\mathrm{SU}}(N)_1$ on a branched torus examplifies the strategy of extending the Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \overline{\mathcal{H}}_A \times \overline{\mathcal{H}}_B$ and projecting the final result. The computation of this paper and of [26] are heavily dependent of the methods developed in [27], and the bose-fermi equivalence demonstrated for $\widehat{\mathrm{SU}}(N)_1$ for arbitrary genus in that paper. The bose-fermi equivalence used here gives us confidence in the strategy employed in this paper. A challenge is to extend these ideas to other examples with continuum gauge fields, particularly when there is not a bose-fermi equivalence available to check the results.

Some papers which we found useful for our work are [30–56]

2. The Action

We are interested in the Rényi entropy for the WZW theory $\widehat{\mathrm{SU}}(N)_1$ on an $n-$branched torus, where

$$\widehat{\mathrm{SU}}(N)_1 = \frac{\hat{\mathrm{U}}(N)_1}{\mathrm{U}(1)} \tag{2.1}$$

is described by N free fermions, constrained by a U(1) gauge field. This approach is complementary to that of the bose formulation of the same theory [26], where a free boson field takes values on a $N-$dimensional lattice. Here we concentrate on the fermi presentation of the theory, where $\widehat{\mathrm{U}}(N)_1$ spans a Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ which is projected to $\widehat{\mathrm{SU}}(N)_1$, by the U(1) gauge field.

We compute the Rényi entropy by means of the replica trick, where the Rényi entropy is

$$S_n = -\frac{1}{n-1} \log \mathrm{Tr} \rho_A^n \tag{2.2}$$

In two-dimensional space-time, we consider the submanifold as that of a single interval on the torus. The two-dimensional theory is defined on a complex plane so that the Rényi entropy becomes

$$S_n = -\frac{1}{n-1} \log \left(\frac{Z_n}{Z_1^n} \right) \tag{2.3}$$

where Z_n is the partition function for the $n-$sheeted Riemann surface which results from connecting the $n-$complex surfaces along the branch cuts; here the single interval. For a single interval we denote the surface as $\mathcal{R}_{n,1}$.

The Lagrangian density does not depend explicitly on the Riemann surface, so that the structure of $\mathcal{R}_{n,1}$ is implemented by appropriate boundary conditions. The partition function is schematically of the form

$$Z_{\mathcal{R}_{n,1}} = \int [d\varphi] \exp\{-S_n[\varphi]\} \tag{2.4}$$

where φ denotes all the various fields of the theory. [Below we will be more explicit about the specific fields involved in our calculation.] We consider $n-$copies of the theory, with the partition function (2.4) written as a path integral on the $n-$sheeted torus.

$$Z_{\mathcal{R}_{n,1}} = \int_{\mathbb{C}_1} [d\varphi_1 \cdots d\varphi_n] \exp\{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} (2i dz \wedge d\bar{z})[\mathcal{L}[\varphi_1] + \cdots + \mathcal{L}[\varphi_n]]\} \tag{2.5}$$

where $\int_{\mathbb{C}_1}$ is the restricted path integral with appropriate boundary conditions.

For $\hat{\mathrm{U}}(N)_1/\mathrm{U}(1)$ the partition function is schematically as in (2.5), where

$$Z_{\mathcal{R}_{n,1}} = \int [d\chi][d\tilde{\chi}][dA_\mu][d\bar{A}_\mu] \exp(-I_F[\chi; A])_n \tag{2.6}$$

with the Lagrangian evaluated on $\mathcal{R}_{n,1}$. One copy of the Lagrangian as in (2.5), (2.6), is

$$I_F[\chi, A] = \frac{1}{\pi} \int d^2z [\bar{\chi}_a(z)(\bar{\partial} + i\bar{A})\chi^a(z) + \tilde{\bar{\chi}}_a(\bar{z})(\partial + iA)\tilde{\chi}^{\bar{a}}(\bar{z})] \tag{2.7}$$

where $a = 1$ to N, χ^a and $\tilde{\chi}^{\bar{a}}$ are independent representations of $\mathrm{U}(N)$ and the conjugate representations, respectively. The $A_i(z, \bar{z})$, $i = 1, 2$ are components of a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge field. In eqn. (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} A = A_z &= \frac{1}{2}(A_1 - iA_2) \\ \bar{A} = A_{\bar{z}} &= \frac{1}{2}(A_1 + iA_2)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

One can make the Hodege decomposition on a genus g Riemann surface with the harmonic component A^0 so that

$$A_\mu dx^\mu = A dz + \bar{A} d\bar{z} + A^0 \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$A^0 = 2\pi \sum_{a=1}^{g} [u_a \alpha_a - v_a \beta_a] \tag{2.10}$$

and where u_a and v_a are real constants, with the homology basis (a_a, b_a) of one-forms, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a_a} \alpha_b = \delta_{ab}\,; \qquad & \int_{b_a} \alpha_b = 0 \\ \int_{a_a} \beta_b = 0\,; \qquad & \int_{b_a} \beta_b = \delta_{ab} \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Change variables on each copy of the Lagrangian density, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} A &= i(\partial \bar{h})\bar{h}^{-1} \\ \bar{A} &= i(\bar{\partial} h)h^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where h and $\bar{h}$ are diagonal $N \times N$ matrices belonging to $\mathrm{U}(1)_c$ (i.e. complexified U(1)). The reality condition $A^\dagger = \bar{A}$ imposes $\bar{h}^\dagger = h^{-1}$, where the matrices h and $\bar{h}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} h &= e^{-\rho - i\lambda}\mathbb{I} \\ \bar{h} &= e^{\rho - i\lambda}\mathbb{I} \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

and where $\mathbb{I}$ is the $N \times N$ unit matrix. Thus for each copy of the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \partial\lambda + i\partial\rho \\ \bar{A} &= \bar{\partial}\lambda - i\bar{\partial}\rho \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Upon changing variables, (2.12, 2.13, 2.14), eqn. (2.7) becomes schematically

$$Z_{\mathcal{R}_{n,1}} = Z_{gh} \int [d\chi][d\bar{\chi}][dh][d\bar{h}][dA^0] \exp(-I_F[\chi, A])_n \tag{2.15}$$

where Z_{gh} denotes the Jacobian induced by the change of variables (2.12, 2.13, 2.14). The coupling of the fermions to λ and ρ can be rotated away by gauge and chiral transformations, at the price of a chiral anomaly $I_B[e^{2\rho}\mathbb{I}]$, but the coupling of the fermions to the harmonic component A^0 remains. Choose the gauge $\lambda = 0$, which involves no non-trivial Jacobian, allowing one to drop the λ integration. The gauge coupling to the fermions becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= h\psi; \qquad & \tilde{\chi} &= \tilde{\psi}h^{-1} \\ \bar{\chi} &= \bar{h}\bar{\psi}; \qquad & \tilde{\bar{\chi}} &= \tilde{\bar{\psi}}\bar{h}^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

The measure is not invariant under the chiral transformation, but picks up a factor of $\exp(I_B[h^{-1}, h])$, where $I_B[g]$ is the WZW action

$$I_B[g] = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2z \mathrm{Tr}[g^{-1}(\partial_i g)g^{-1}(\partial^i g)] \tag{2.17}$$

for $g \in \mathrm{U}(1)_c$. Since this group is abelian, there is no topological terms in (2.17).

At this stage (2.15) becomes

$$Z_{\mathcal{R}_{n,1}} = Z_{gh} \int [d\psi][d\bar{\psi}][dh][d\bar{h}][dA^0] \exp(-I_F[\psi, A^0])_n \exp(-I_B[h^{-1}, h])_n \quad (2.18)$$

Equation (2.18) is not quite correct, even as a formal expression, since the integration is over infinite volume, which can divided out. One arrives at

$$Z_{\mathcal{R}_{n,1}} = Z_{gh} \int [d\psi][d\bar{\psi}][d\rho][dA^0] \exp(-I_F[\psi, A^0])_n \exp(-\frac{N}{2\pi} \int d^2z (\partial_\mu \rho)(\partial^\mu \rho))_n \quad (2.19)$$

One can change the normalization of ρ, as follows

$$\rho(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \Phi(z, \bar{z}) \quad (2.20)$$

The free boson action in (2.19), now will have the normalization

$$\begin{aligned} I_B[\Phi] &= -I_B[e^{2\rho}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2z (\partial_i \Phi)(\partial^i \Phi) \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

The equations of motion imply

$$\Phi(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{2}[\phi(z) + \bar{\phi}(\bar{z})] \quad (2.22)$$

The final expression becomes

$$Z_{\mathcal{R}_{n,1}} = Z_{gh} \int [d\psi][d\bar{\psi}][d\Phi][dA^0] \exp(-I_F[\psi, A^0])_n \exp(-I_B[\Phi])_n \quad (2.23)$$

where the ghost modes describe a CFT with central charge $c_{gh} = -2$. Equations (2.21, 2.22) imply the genus zero two-point functions

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(z)\phi(\omega) \rangle &= -\log(z - \omega) \\ \langle \bar{\phi}(\bar{z})\bar{\phi}(\bar{\omega}) \rangle &= -\log(\bar{z} - \bar{\omega}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

To reiterate, the fermi and bose actions in (2.19) are given by n-copies, as schematically in (2.5)

3. The Partition Function

3.1 Monodromies

The fermions and bosons in (2.18) obey different periodicity conditions in j, where j labels the j^{th} Riemann sheet, $j = 1$ to n. Generically, on circling a branch-point

$$\phi_j(ze^{2\pi i}) = \phi_{j+1}(z) \quad \text{for} \quad \text{bosons} \quad \text{and} \tag{3.1}$$
$$\psi_j(ze^{2\pi i}) = \psi_{j+1}(z) \quad \text{for} \quad \text{fermions} \tag{3.2}$$

with

$$\phi_{j+n} = \phi_j \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\psi_{j+n} = (-1)^{n-1}\psi_j \tag{3.4}$$

The U(N) free fermi fields satisfy

$$\psi_j^a(ze^{2\pi i}) = \psi_{j+1}^a(z) \tag{3.5}$$
$$\bar{\psi}_{j,a}(ze^{2\pi i}) = \bar{\psi}_{j+1,a}(z) \tag{3.6}$$
$$\tilde{\psi}_j^{\bar{a}}(\bar{z}e^{-2\pi i}) = \tilde{\psi}_{j+1}^{\bar{a}}(\bar{z}) \tag{3.7}$$
$$\tilde{\bar{\psi}}_{j,\bar{a}}(\bar{z}e^{-2\pi i}) = \tilde{\bar{\psi}}_{j+1,\bar{a}}(\bar{z}) \tag{3.8}$$

on circling a branch point.
The harmonic component of the U(1) gauge field also satisfies the boson monodromies

$$A_j^0(ze^{2\pi i}) = A_{j+1}^0(z) \tag{3.9}$$
$$\bar{A}_j^0(\bar{z}e^{-2\pi i}) = \bar{A}_{j+1}^0(\bar{z}) \tag{3.10}$$

It is convenient to diagonalize the fields with respect to the monodromies ($k = 1$ to n). Generically for the bosons

$$\hat{\phi}_k(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi_j(z)(\theta_j)^k \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$(\theta_j)^k = e^{2\pi ijk/n} \tag{3.12}$$

so that

$$\phi_j(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\theta_j^{-1})^k \hat{\phi}_k(z) \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$(\theta_j^{-1})^k = \frac{1}{n} e^{-2\pi i j k/n} \tag{3.14}$$

Similarly diagonalizing the various fermions with respect to the monodromy[1]gives

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\psi}_k(z) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_j(z) \exp \frac{2\pi i j}{n}[k - \frac{1}{2}(n-1)] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \psi_j(z)(\tilde{\theta}_j)^k \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

so that

$$(\tilde{\theta}_j^{-1})^k = \frac{1}{n} \exp -\frac{2\pi i j}{n}[k - \frac{1}{2}(n-1)] \tag{3.16}$$

3.2 The fermion action

From (2.7), (2.16) and (2.18) the fermion action is

$$I_F[\psi, A^0]_n = \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi} \int d^2z [\bar{\psi}_a(z)(\bar{\partial} + iA^0)\psi^a(z) + \tilde{\bar{\psi}}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{z})(\partial + iA^0)\tilde{\psi}^{\bar{a}}(\bar{z})] \right\}_n \tag{3.17}$$

Diagonalize the fields as in (3.11)-(3.16), and then to simplify the notation, omit the hat in the fields. That is, write $\bar{\psi}_{a,k}(z)$ instead of $\hat{\psi}_{a,k}(z)$, etc. Then in terms of the diagonal fields, the Lagrangian density appropiate to (3.17) becomes

$$\frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \bar{\psi}_{a,k}(z)[\bar{\partial} + i \sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{A}_j(z)(\frac{1}{n} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{n}(j-k)})]\psi_k^a(z) + \text{h.c.} \right\} \tag{3.18}$$

The functional integral over the N Dirac fermion coupled to the constant (in z) gauge field is equivalent to that of a free fermion with twisted boundary conditions. []

On a compact genus g Riemann surface without branch-cuts, one can choose a canonical homology basis (2.11). An alternate basis of one-forms are the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic differentials ω_a and $\bar{\omega}_a$ on the surface, whose integrals around the homology cycles in this basis are

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a_a} \omega_b &= \delta_{ab} \qquad & \int_{b_a} \omega_b &= \Omega_{ab} \\ \int_{a_a} \bar{\omega}_b &= \delta_{ab} \qquad & \int_{b_a} \bar{\omega}_b &= \bar{\Omega}_{ab} \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where $a = 1$ to g, and Ω_{ab} is the $g \times g$ period matrix of the surface.
For a Riemann surface with branch-cuts, an appropriate basis is that of cut-differentials

[1]We use the monodromy of [57] as convenient. See also C. P Herzog and Y. Wang [58]

[28]. For the branched-torus we are considering, the appropriate basis are the doubly-periodic cut-differentials $\omega_1^k(z)$ and $\omega_2^k(z)$; $k = 1$ to n and their integrals over the intervals $0 \leq z \leq 1$ and $0 \leq z \leq \tau$ [Appendix A of [26]]

The fermi action in the presence of a constant gauge field is equivalent to that of free fermions with twisted boundary conditions, where the result is expressed in terms of the period matrix of the surface [29]. Thus our task is to identify the period matrix for the surface, described by the basis of cut-differentials. To do so, one expands the gauge field in (3.18) in this basis. Note the normalization

$$\frac{1}{W_1^{1(k)}} \int_0^1 dz\, \omega_1^k = 1 \tag{3.20}$$

$$\frac{1}{W_1^{1(k)}} \int_0^\tau dz\, \omega_1^k = -\left[\frac{W_2^{2(k)}}{W_1^{1(k)}}\right] \tag{3.21}$$

for the torus (at each k) $0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \sigma_2 \leq \tau$.

Therefore from (3.18) the period matrix in this basis is

$$\Omega_{rs}^{(k)} = \frac{16\pi}{n}\left[\frac{W_2^{2(k)}}{W_1^{1(k)}}\right](e^{-2\pi ik/n})^{r-s} \tag{3.22}$$

where the overall normalization is chosen to agree with that of equation (4.19) in the bose formulation [26]. Proceeding [2] as in equation (6.7) of [29] and then (4.26)-(4.36) and (4.65) of [27], we find

$$Z_{fermi} = Z_{hom} \exp - \sum_{k=1}^{n} S^{(k)} \tag{3.23}$$

where

$$\beta_{k/n} = \left|\frac{W_2^{2(k)}}{W_1^{1(k)}}\right| \tag{3.24}$$

and

$$S^{(k)} = \frac{16\pi}{n} \sum_{r,s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \{\beta_{k/n}\, m_r^a (C_{k/n})_{rs}\, m_s^a + (\beta_{k/n})^{-1}\, n_r^a (C_{k/n})_{rs}\, n_s^a\} \tag{3.25}$$

with m_r^a and $n_r^a \in \mathbb{Z}$. After summation over k, the phase in (3.17) reduces to

$$(C_{k/n})_{rs} = \cos[\frac{2\pi k}{n}(r-s)] \tag{3.26}$$

[2] We omit repeating these readily available details in the interest of clarity of the presentation

In (3.23) there is also a homogeneous contribution to the fermi action.

One performs a Poisson summation on (3.23)-(3.25) with the result

$$Z_{fermi} = Z_{hom} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (\beta_{k/n})^{1/2} \exp - \sum_{k=1}^{n} S^{(k)} \tag{3.27}$$

where now

$$S^{(k)} = \frac{16\pi}{n} \sum_{r,s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} (\beta_{k/n}) \{ m_r^a (C_{k/n})_{rs} \, m_s^a + n_r^a (C_{k/n})_{rs} \, n_s^a \} \tag{3.28}$$

Finally from a calculation analogous to Sec 4.2 of [26], the homogeneous contribution is [3]

$$Z_{hom} = \frac{1}{|\eta(\tau)|^{2Nn}} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| \frac{\theta_1'(0|\tau)}{\theta_1(z_1 - z_2|\tau)} \right|^{2\Delta_\psi} \tag{3.29}$$

where

$$\Delta_\psi = \frac{N}{2} (k/n)^2 \tag{3.30}$$

3.3 The remaining action

From (2.19)-(2.21) there remains

$$Z_{gh} Z_\Phi = Z_{gh} \int [d\Phi] \exp(-\hat{I}_B[\Phi])_n \tag{3.31}$$

with $\hat{I}_B$ given by (2.21) and (2.22). A calculation analogous to that of equation (4.30) of [26] gives

$$Z_\Phi = \frac{1}{|\eta(\tau)|^{2n}} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{|W_1^{1(k)} W_2^{2(k)}|^{1/2}} \left| \frac{\theta_1'(0|\tau)}{\theta_1(z_1 - z_2|\tau)} \right|^{2\Delta_\Phi} \right\} \tag{3.32}$$

where

$$\Delta_\Phi = \frac{1}{2} (k/n)^2 \tag{3.33}$$

and

$$Z_{gh} = |\eta(\tau)|^{4n} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| \frac{\theta_1'(0|\tau)}{\theta_1(z_1 - z_2|\tau)} \right|^{2\Delta_{gh}} \tag{3.34}$$

where

$$\Delta_{gh} = -(k/n)^2 \tag{3.35}$$

[3] There is also an overall normalization in the final partition function which one fixes to agree with the bose-fermi equivalence

3.4 The complete Action

The complete action is

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= Z_{fermi} Z_{gh} Z_{\Phi} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\eta(\tau)|^{2(N-1)n}} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{|W_1^{1(k)}|} \left| \frac{\theta_1'(0|\tau)}{\theta_1(z_1 - z_2|\tau)} \right|^{2\Delta_{k/n}} \right\} |\Theta(0|i\Omega)|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

where

$$\Delta_{k/n} = \frac{N-1}{2}(k/n)^2 \tag{3.37}$$

and

$$\Theta(0|i\Omega) = \sum_{\vec{m} \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp[-\pi \vec{m}_r \cdot C_{rs} \cdot \vec{m}_s] \tag{3.38}$$

The final result (3.36) is normalized to be identical to that of (4.32) of the bose formulation [26].

4. Conclusions

The existence of the bose-fermi equivalence for the $\widehat{\mathrm{SU}}(N)_1$ WZW model on the branched torus provides confidence in our treatment of the constraint $U(1)$ gauge field. However, since the RE depends on a Riemann-Siegel theta function, it is not possible to take the $n \to 1$ limit to compute the EE. Nevertheless an important aspect of the calculation is the expansion of the $U(1)$ gauge field in a Hodge decomposition with the harmonic component essential to the final result, which ensures that there are no $U(1)$ charges circulating around the homology cycles. It is the contribution of this term that cannot be continued to $n \to 1$. On the other hand the closed and co-closed components lead to contributions analogous to that of conventional scalar field theories, so that the continuation to $n \to 1$ for these terms is not an issue, and that an extended Hilbert space is not needed here.

It is difficult to compare our results directly with that of lattice calculations where the set-up of an extended Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \overline{\mathcal{H}}_A \times \overline{\mathcal{H}}_B$ is also employed. Typically the lattice formulation provides constraints on the normal component of an electric field on the boundary of A, as well as a scalar field living on the boundary of A. Here the gauge field is likely analogous to a sector with $\mathrm{E}_\perp = 0$ on the boundary. However note that both the classical and quantum contributions are necessary to obtain agreement with the bose formulation, while only the quantum piece is distillable [22], [23].

There are some generalizations of the $\widehat{\mathrm{SU}}(N)_1$ WZW theory that can be considered. One can add a kinetic energy term or other terms depending on the $U(1)$

gauge field, but there will no longer be a bose-fermi equivalence to check the results. One can also generalize the constraint to a non-Abelian gauge field so that

$$\widehat{\mathrm{SU}}(N)_K = \frac{\hat{\mathrm{U}}(NK)_1}{\mathrm{U}(K)}$$

can be considered. However a comprehensive overall strategy for continuum gauge fields is still needed.

For another application of fermi-bose equivalence to entanglements issues see [59], and for other aspects of bose-fermi equivalence see [60, 61]

Acknowledgements

H. J. S. wishes to thank Cesar Agón and Isaac Cohen-Abbo for their invaluable assistance in preparing this paper. The research of H. J. S. is supported in part by DOE by grant DE-SC0009987.

This paper represents a contribution to the celebration of the author's 82^{nd} birthday.

References

[1] A. Velytsky, "Entanglement entropy in d+1 SU(N) gauge theory," *Phys. Rev.* **D77** (2008) 085021, arXiv:0801.4111 [hep-th].

[2] P. V. Buividovich and M. I. Polikarpov, "Numerical study of entanglement entropy in SU(2) lattice gauge theory," *Nucl. Phys.* **B802** (2008) 458–474, arXiv:0802.4247 [hep-lat].

[3] P. V. Buividovich and M. I. Polikarpov, "Entanglement entropy in gauge theories and the holographic principle for electric strings," *Phys. Lett.* **B670** (2008) 141–145, arXiv:0806.3376 [hep-th].

[4] Y. Nakagawa, A. Nakamura, S. Motoki, and V. I. Zakharov, "Entanglement entropy of SU(3) Yang-Mills theory," *PoS* **LAT2009** (2009) 188, arXiv:0911.2596 [hep-lat].

[5] I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu, S. Sachdev, and B. R. Safdi, "Entanglement Entropy of 3-d Conformal Gauge Theories with Many Flavors," *JHEP* **05** (2012) 036, arXiv:1112.5342 [hep-th].

[6] C. Eling, Y. Oz, and S. Theisen, "Entanglement and Thermal Entropy of Gauge Fields," *JHEP* **11** (2013) 019, arXiv:1308.4964 [hep-th].

[7] C. A. Agon, M. Headrick, D. L. Jafferis, and S. Kasko, "Disk entanglement entropy for a Maxwell field," *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014) no.˜2, 025018, arXiv:1310.4886 [hep-th].

[8] H. Casini, M. Huerta, and J. A. Rosabal, "Remarks on entanglement entropy for gauge fields," *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014) no.˜8, 085012, arXiv:1312.1183 [hep-th].

[9] W. Donnelly, "Decomposition of entanglement entropy in lattice gauge theory," *Phys. Rev.* **D85** (2012) 085004, arXiv:1109.0036 [hep-th].

[10] W. Donnelly, "Entanglement entropy and nonabelian gauge symmetry," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31** (2014) no.˜21, 214003, arXiv:1406.7304 [hep-th].

[11] H. Casini and M. Huerta, "Entanglement entropy for a Maxwell field: Numerical calculation on a two dimensional lattice," *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) no.˜10, 105013, arXiv:1406.2991 [hep-th].

[12] H. Casini and M. Huerta, "Entanglement entropy of a Maxwell field on the sphere," arXiv:1512.06182 [hep-th].

[13] W. Donnelly and A. C. Wall, "Entanglement entropy of electromagnetic edge modes," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) no.˜11, 111603, arXiv:1412.1895 [hep-th].

[14] W. Donnelly and A. C. Wall, "Geometric entropy and edge modes of the electromagnetic field," arXiv:1506.05792 [hep-th].

[15] K.-W. Huang, "Central Charge and Entangled Gauge Fields," *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015) no.˜2, 025010, arXiv:1412.2730 [hep-th].

[16] S. Ghosh, R. M. Soni, and S. P. Trivedi, "On The Entanglement Entropy For Gauge Theories," *JHEP* **09** (2015) 069, arXiv:1501.02593 [hep-th].

[17] S. Aoki, T. Iritani, M. Nozaki, T. Numasawa, N. Shiba, and H. Tasaki, "On the definition of entanglement entropy in lattice gauge theories," *JHEP* **06** (2015) 187, arXiv:1502.04267 [hep-th].

[18] J.-W. Chen, S.-H. Dai, and J.-Y. Pang, "Strong Coupling Expansion of the Entanglement Entropy of Yang-Mills Gauge Theories," arXiv:1503.01766 [hep-th].

[19] L.-Y. Hung and Y. Wan, "Revisiting Entanglement Entropy of Lattice Gauge Theories," *JHEP* **04** (2015) 122, arXiv:1501.04389 [hep-th].

[20] D. Radicevic, "Notes on Entanglement in Abelian Gauge Theories," arXiv:1404.1391 [hep-th].

[21] D. Radicevic, "Entanglement in Weakly Coupled Lattice Gauge Theories," *JHEP* **04** (2016) 163, arXiv:1509.08478 [hep-th].

[22] R. M. Soni and S. P. Trivedi, "Aspects of Entanglement Entropy for Gauge Theories," *JHEP* **01** (2016) 136, arXiv:1510.07455 [hep-th].

[23] K. Van Acoleyen, N. Bultinck, J. Haegeman, M. Marien, V. B. Scholz, and F. Verstraete, "The entanglement of distillation for gauge theories," arXiv:1511.04369 [quant-ph].

[24] D. Radicevic, "Entanglement Entropy and Duality," arXiv:1605.09396 [hep-th].

[25] C. Delcamp, B. Dittrich, and A. Riello, "On entanglement entropy in non-Abelian lattice gauge theory and 3D quantum gravity," arXiv:1609.04806 [hep-th].

[26] H. J. Schnitzer, "Rényi Entropy for the $\widehat{\mathrm{SU}}(N)_1$ WZW model on the torus," arXiv:1510.05993 [hep-th].

[27] S. G. Naculich and H. J. Schnitzer, "Constructive Methods for Higher Genus Correlation Functions of Level One Simply Laced WZW Models," *Nucl. Phys.* **B332** (1990) 583–628.

[28] J. J. Atick, L. J. Dixon, P. A. Griffin, and D. Nemeschansky, "Multiloop Twist Field Correlation Functions for $Z(N)$ Orbifolds," *Nucl. Phys.* **B298** (1988) 1–35.

[29] L. Alvarez-Gaume, G. W. Moore, and C. Vafa, "Theta Functions, Modular Invariance and Strings," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **106** (1986) 1–40.

[30] B. Chen and J.-q. Wu, "Rnyi entropy of a free compact boson on a torus," *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015) no.˜10, 105013, arXiv:1501.00373 [hep-th].

[31] S. F. Lokhande and S. Mukhi, "Modular invariance and entanglement entropy," *JHEP* **06** (2015) 106, arXiv:1504.01921 [hep-th].

[32] P. Calabrese, J. Cardy, and E. Tonni, "Entanglement entropy of two disjoint intervals in conformal field theory," *J. Stat. Mech.* **0911** (2009) P11001, arXiv:0905.2069 [hep-th].

[33] P. Calabrese, J. Cardy, and E. Tonni, "Entanglement entropy of two disjoint intervals in conformal field theory II," *J. Stat. Mech.* **1101** (2011) P01021, arXiv:1011.5482 [hep-th].

[34] B. Chen and J.-q. Wu, "Universal relation between thermal entropy and entanglement entropy in conformal field theories," *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015) no.˜8, 086012, arXiv:1412.0761 [hep-th].

[35] J. Cardy and C. P. Herzog, "Universal Thermal Corrections to Single Interval Entanglement Entropy for Two Dimensional Conformal Field Theories," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) no.˜17, 171603, arXiv:1403.0578 [hep-th].

[36] B. Chen and J.-q. Wu, "Large Interval Limit of Rényi Entropy At High Temperature," arXiv:1412.0763 [hep-th].

[37] S. Datta and J. R. David, "Rnyi entropies of free bosons on the torus and holography," *JHEP* **04** (2014) 081, arXiv:1311.1218 [hep-th].

[38] T. Azeyanagi, T. Nishioka, and T. Takayanagi, "Near Extremal Black Hole Entropy as Entanglement Entropy via AdS(2)/CFT(1)," *Phys. Rev.* **D77** (2008) 064005, arXiv:0710.2956 [hep-th].

[39] B. Chen and J.-J. Zhang, "On short interval expansion of Rnyi entropy," *JHEP* **11** (2013) 164, arXiv:1309.5453 [hep-th].

[40] B. Chen, J.-q. Wu, and Z.-c. Zheng, "Holographic Rnyi entropy of single interval on Torus: With W symmetry," *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015) no.˜6, 066002, arXiv:1507.00183 [hep-th].

[41] B. Chen, W.-Z. Guo, S. He, and J.-q. Wu, "Entanglement Entropy for Descendent Local Operators in 2D CFTs," arXiv:1507.01157 [hep-th].

[42] P. Caputa and A. Veliz-Osorio, "Entanglement constant for conformal families," *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015) no.˜6, 065010, arXiv:1507.00582 [hep-th].

[43] B. Chen and J.-q. Wu, "Holographic Calculation for Large Interval Rényi Entropy at High Temperature," arXiv:1506.03206 [hep-th].

[44] B. Chen, J. Long, and J.-j. Zhang, "Holographic Rnyi entropy for CFT with W symmetry," *JHEP* **04** (2014) 041, arXiv:1312.5510 [hep-th].

[45] M. Headrick, "Entanglement Renyi entropies in holographic theories," *Phys. Rev.* **D82** (2010) 126010, arXiv:1006.0047 [hep-th].

[46] P. Calabrese and J. L. Cardy, "Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory," *J. Stat. Mech.* **0406** (2004) P06002, arXiv:hep-th/0405152 [hep-th].

[47] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, "Entanglement entropy and conformal field theory," *J. Phys.* **A42** (2009) 504005, arXiv:0905.4013 [cond-mat.stat-mech].

[48] T. Hartman, "Entanglement Entropy at Large Central Charge," arXiv:1303.6955 [hep-th].

[49] J. L. Cardy, O. A. Castro-Alvaredo, and B. Doyon, "Form factors of branch-point twist fields in quantum integrable models and entanglement entropy," *J. Statist. Phys.* **130** (2008) 129–168, arXiv:0706.3384 [hep-th].

[50] C. De Nobili, A. Coser, and E. Tonni, "Entanglement entropy and negativity of disjoint intervals in CFT: Some numerical extrapolations," *J. Stat. Mech.* **1506** (2015) no.˜6, P06021, arXiv:1501.04311 [cond-mat.stat-mech].

[51] H. Casini and M. Huerta, "Entanglement entropy in free quantum field theory," *J. Phys.* **A42** (2009) 504007, arXiv:0905.2562 [hep-th].

[52] H. Casini and M. Huerta, "Remarks on the entanglement entropy for disconnected regions," *JHEP* **03** (2009) 048, arXiv:0812.1773 [hep-th].

[53] H. Casini and M. Huerta, "On the RG running of the entanglement entropy of a circle," *Phys. Rev.* **D85** (2012) 125016, arXiv:1202.5650 [hep-th].

[54] F. Liu and X. Liu, "Two intervals Rényi entanglement entropy of compact free boson on torus," arXiv:1509.08986 [hep-th].

[55] M. Headrick, A. Maloney, E. Perlmutter, and I. G. Zadeh, "Rnyi entropies, the analytic bootstrap, and 3D quantum gravity at higher genus," *JHEP* **07** (2015) 059, arXiv:1503.07111 [hep-th].

[56] S. Aoki, E. Itou, and K. Nagata, "Entanglement entropy for pure gauge theories in 1+1 dimensions using the lattice regularization," arXiv:1608.08727 [hep-th].

[57] A. Giveon and D. Kutasov, "Supersymmetric Renyi entropy in CFT_2 and AdS_3," *JHEP* **01** (2016) 042, arXiv:1510.08872 [hep-th].

[58] C. P. Herzog and Y. Wang, "Estimation for Entanglement Negativity of Free Fermions," *J. Stat. Mech.* **1607** (2016) no.˜7, 073102, arXiv:1601.00678 [hep-th].

[59] M. Headrick, A. Lawrence, and M. Roberts, "Bose-Fermi duality and entanglement entropies," *J. Stat. Mech.* **1302** (2013) P02022, arXiv:1209.2428 [hep-th].

[60] A. N. Redlich, H. J. Schnitzer, and K. Tsokos, "Bose-fermi Equivalence on the Two-dimensional Torus for Simply Laced Groups," *Nucl. Phys.* **B289** (1987) 397.

[61] H. J. Schnitzer and K. Tsokos, "Partition Functions and Fermi-bose Equivalence for Simple Laced Groups on Compact Riemann Surfaces," *Nucl. Phys.* **B291** (1987) 429.